# **City of Noblesville** Safety Action Plan

April 2024 Updated February 2025



#### **RESOLUTION RC-5-24**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOBLESVILLE CONCERNING THE NOBLESVILLE TOWARDS ZERO RESOLUTION

#### WITNESS THAT:

WHEREAS, the City of Noblesville (the "City") is home to residential neighborhoods of various sizes and characters, as well as a thriving historic downtown including local restaurants, shopping, and art galleries; and

WHEREAS, to ensure that Noblesville remains a great place to live, work, and play every person must be safe as they travel in the City; and

WHEREAS, the City has undertaken the implementation of a Safety Action Plan ("SAP") to assist in implementing the City Capital Improvement Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City has identified as part of the SAP a High Injury Network of roadways, including but not limited to: State Road 37, Greenfield Avenue, Boden Road, Olio Road, 8<sup>th</sup> Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Street, and Logan Street; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes that traffic deaths and serious injuries are not inevitable and is committed to take steps to attempt to eliminate traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2050.

IT IS THEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Noblesville as follows:

- 1. The Common Council of the City of Noblesville hereby resolves that to make City streets safer for all, no matter age, ability, or community, Noblesville is dedicated to collaborating with all partners including residents, community stakeholders, local and regional governments, and state agencies. Moving towards zero by 2050 is an ambitious goal, but together we can take actions to help save lives and make Noblesville's streets safer for all.
- 2. That the Noblesville Towards Zero Resolution is hereby approved.

Approved on this <u>13th</u> day of <u>Fabruary</u>, 2024 by the Common Council of the City of Noblesville, Indiana:

AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN
Mark Boice		
Michael J. Davis		
E AN Evan Elliott		
David M. Johnson		
Darren Peterson		
Pete Schwartz		
Aaron Smith		
Todd Thurston		
Hangunke Megan G. Wiles		
De la PO		

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ . Lees, City Clerk

Presented by me to the Mayor of the City of Noblesville, Indiana, this  $\frac{14^{44}}{4}$  day of

- February, 2024 at 8:14 A.M.

Evelyn L. Lees City Clerk

MAYOR'S APPROVAL

<u>2-14-202</u>4 Date

Chris Jensen, Mayor



# **Table of Contents**

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	2
<b>BACKGROUND &amp; CONTEXT</b>	4
ENGAGEMENT	12
ANALYSIS	22
SAFETY TOOLKIT	32
ACTION PLAN	34





# **Safety Action Plan**

The Noblesville Safety Action Plan is a comprehensive safety plan aimed at eliminating fatal and serious injury crashes. The Plan combines an analysis of crash patterns with actionable strategies to make Noblesville streets safer, not just for motorists, but for all users including those who bike, walk, roll, or use public transportation. The Noblesville Safety Action Plan meets all the requirements of the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) program and will allow the City to apply for SS4A implementation grant funding for safety improvements.

# **Purpose & Commitment**

The purpose of this plan is to identify the extent of the roadway safety problem in Noblesville, engage the community in an equitable and inclusive way, and to propose strategies to reduce and eliminate fatal and serious injury crashes. The Noblesville Safety Action Plan is comprehensive and promotes equitable transportation investments to improve roadway safety for all modes and all communities.

This plan established a steering committee that was tasked with the development of the plan, implementation of recommendations and strategies, and monitoring of performance. Recommendations follow the guidance of a Safe System Approach and include safety countermeasure along critical corridors and key intersections as well as policy and programmatic strategies to improve educational awareness, formalize safety design standards, and establish a roadway safety performance monitoring program to increase transparency and accountability.



#### **Plan Elements**

The plan is organized in six plan elements that, together, offer a map to reduce and eliminate fatal and serious injury crashes.



#### The Vision:

The City of Noblesville is home to residential neighborhoods of various sizes and characters as well as a thriving historic downtown including local restaurants, shopping, and art galleries. To ensure that Noblesville remains a great place to live, work, and play, every person must be safe as they travel in the City.

Noblesville believes that traffic deaths are preventable and unacceptable and is committed to eliminating traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2050. To make City streets safer for all, no matter age, ability, or community, Noblesville is dedicated to collaborating with all partners including residents, community stakeholders, local and regional governments, and state agencies. Zero is an ambitious goal, but together we can save lives and make Noblesville's streets safer for all.



# BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

## Introduction

Traffic crashes are a leading cause of preventable death in the United States. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), 2021 saw nearly 43,000 traffic deaths across the nation, a 16-year high. Almost 43,000 people were again killed in traffic crashes in 2022. Since 2020, notable increases include:

- > Fatalities on urban roads up 16%
- > Pedestrian fatalities up 13%
- > Bicycle fatalities up 5%
- > Speeding related fatalities up 5%

Throughout the Indianapolis Metropolitan Area, around 170 people are killed each year in traffic crashes, and another 4,500 are seriously injured. The Noblesville Safety Action Plan is a strategic step toward engaging and coordinating with the public, planners, engineers, law enforcement, and first responders to improve traffic safety for all users. It will take a concerted and organized effort from various stakeholders and agencies to ensure this plan is implemented and zero traffic deaths and serious injuries becomes a reality.

This safety action plan combines a thorough analysis of crash patterns with actionable strategies to make Noblesville streets safer, not just for motorists, but for all users including those who bike, walk, roll, or use public transportation.



# Safe Streets & Roads for All (SS4A)

In response to the alarming rise in traffic deaths, the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) announced the comprehensive National Roadway Safety Strategy (NRSS) which provides a roadmap to addressing the national crisis of roadway fatalities and serious injuries. The NRSS established a federal vision of zero traffic deaths and adopted a Safe System Approach as the guiding paradigm to address roadway safety.

In support of the NRSS, the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) established the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) discretionary grant program. The SS4A program has \$5 billion in appropriated funds over 5 years, from 2022 through 2026. While coordination with state departments of transportation (DOTs) is encouraged, state DOTs are not eligible applicants, providing local and regional agencies with more direct funding and control.

The SS4A program provides funding for two types of grants: planning and demonstration grants and implementation grants. Planning and demonstration grants provide funds to develop or supplement a safety action plan. Safety action plans are the foundation of the SS4A program. Implementation grants provide funds to implement projects and/or strategies identified in a safety action plan. A completed, eligible safety action plan is required to apply for an implementation grant.

#### SS4A Eligibility

Safety action plan eligibility is determined by the SS4A Self-Certification Eligibility Worksheet. For 2023, safety action plan eligibility is based on the requirements listed below. Under each requirement is the page number of this plan document on which the information satisfying that requirement may be found.

#### □ Are both of the following true?

- > Did a high-ranking official and/or governing body in the jurisdiction publicly commit to an eventual goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries?
- > Did the commitment include either setting a target date to reach zero, OR setting one or more targets to achieve significant declines in roadway fatalities and serious injuries by a specific date?



To develop the Action Plan, was a committee, task force, implementation group, or similar body established and charged with the plan's development, implementation, and monitoring?





# Does the Action Plan include all of the following?

- > Analysis of existing conditions and historical trends to baseline the level of crashes involving fatalities and serious injuries across a jurisdiction, locality, Tribe, or region;
- Analysis of the location where there are crashes, the severity, as well as contributing factors and crash types;
- > Analysis of systemic and specific safety needs is also performed, as needed (e.g., high risk road features, specific safety needs of relevant road users; and,
- A geospatial identification (geographic or locational data using maps) of higher risk locations.



Did the Action Plan development include all of the following activities?

- Engagement with the public and relevant stakeholders, including the private sector and community groups;
- Incorporation of information received from the engagement and collaboration into the plan; and
- Coordination that included interand intra-governmental cooperation and collaboration, as appropriate.



- Did the Action Plan development include all of the following?
  - Considerations of equity using inclusive and representative processes;
  - > The identification of underserved communities through data; and
  - Equity analysis, in collaboration with appropriate partners, focused on initial equity impact assessments of the proposed projects and strategies, and population characteristics.



#### □ Are both of the following true?

- > The plan development included an assessment of current policies, plans, guidelines, and/or standards to identify opportunities to improve how processes prioritize safety; and
- > The plan discusses implementation through the adoption of revised or new policies, guidelines, and/or standards.



 Does the plan identify a comprehensive set of projects and strategies to address the safety problems in the Action Plan, time ranges when projects and strategies will be deployed, and explain project prioritization criteria?





# Does the plan include all of the following?

- A description of how progress will be measured over time that includes, at a minimum, outcome data.
- > The plan is posted publicly online.



# The Plan

#### **Process**

In February 2023, the City of Noblesville was awarded an SS4A grant to develop a comprehensive safety action plan. The Noblesville Safety Action Plan project was initiated in October 2023. The process was led by the Noblesville Engineering Department and the Noblesville Safety Action Plan steering committee.

With assistance from the City of Noblesville and the Indianapolis Metropolitan Planning Organization (IMPO), crash records were collected and cleaned for accuracy. A robust safety analysis was conducted to identify prevailing crash types, factors influencing crash severity, the High Injury Network (HIN), and Equity Target Areas (ETAs).

Public input was sought from the beginning stages of the planning process. An online survey and mapping tools, community open houses, small group interviews, and consistent messaging via social media provided numerous opportunities for residents, community groups, and other stakeholders to participate in the planning process.

#### Safe System Approach

A Safe System Approach was adopted as the guiding paradigm to address roadway by the USDOT and the NRSS. A commitment to zero traffic deaths



and serious injuries requires a shift in philosophy to address roadway safety. This shift is demonstrated by a Safe System Approach, which focuses on both human mistakes and human vulnerability and recommends a transportation system with redundancies built in to protect all users.

A Safe System Approach is a holistic and human-centered approach to roadway safety. The principles of a Safe System Approach are:

- > Death and serious injuries are unacceptable. The Safe System Approach is an ethical principle that no one should suffer death or serious injury while using the transportation system.
- Humans make mistakes. People will inevitably make mistakes but the transportation system can be designed to mitigate human mistakes to avoid death and serious injury.
- Humans are vulnerable. Human bodies have physical limits for tolerating trauma, therefore, it is critical to design a transportation systems that accommodates physical human vulnerabilities.
- > Responsibility is shared. All stakeholders— including government at all levels, industry, non-profit/advocacy, researchers, and the general public—are vital to



preventing fatalities and serious injuries on our roadways.

- Safety is proactive. Proactive tools should be used to identify and address safety issues in the transportation system, rather than waiting for crashes to occur and reacting afterwards.
- Redundancy is crucial. Reducing risks requires that all parts of the transportation system be strengthened, so that if one part fails, the other parts still protect people.

The Noblesville Safety Action Plan was developed and will be implemented following the principles of a Safe System Approach.



#### **Review of Related Plans** Noblesville Downtown Streetscape Master Plan

The Noblesville Downtown Streetscape Master Plan recommended conceptual design solutions and standards to preserve Downtown Noblesville's historic character and strengthen its sense of place. Multiple downtown corridors were identified in the plan as potential reconstruction projects, including 9th Street, Logan Street, 8th Street, and Maple Avenue. Other recommendations include new and improved bicycle and pedestrian facilities and connections.

#### Noblesville Thoroughfare Plan

As part of the 2020 Noblesville Comprehensive Plan, the Thoroughfare Plan classifies proposed and existing roadways based on future land use planning and mobility and access needs. Key transportation improvements found in the thoroughfare plan include proposed roundabouts, improved bicycle and pedestrian connectivity, traffic calming, and corridor access management.

#### **Noblesville ADA Transition Plan**

The Noblesville Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan was updated in 2023 and meets all federal requirements. The ADA Transition Plan identifies physical barriers including non-compliant sidewalks and curb ramps within public right-of-way.



#### IMPO Safe Streets and Roads for All Safety Action Plan

The IMPO Safety Action Plan was formally adopted in 2022 and updated in 2023. The plan adopts a Vision Zero statement and a goal of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes by 35% by 2040. This plan identified a regional high injury network, roadway risk factors, and project scoring criteria.



#### IMPO 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

The IMPO 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) was adopted in 2021 and most recently revised in 2023. The MTP guides regional transportation investments over a 20-year period. 2050 MTP recommendations include expanding transportation options for all users and improving safety throughout the transportation system.



#### MTP Project Viewer

#### Indiana Strategic Highway Safety Plan 2022-2026

The Indiana Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), developed in 2022, is a federally required statewide safety plan. The SHSP establishes goals, objectives, and strategies to save lives and advance the vision of zero fatalities and serious injuries. The SHSP identifies strategic action steps and safety countermeasures to accomplish statewide crash reduction targets.

#### Noblesville Alternative Transportation (NAT) Plan

The NAT Plan is a comprehensive assessment of bicycle and pedestrian facilities throughout the City. The NAT Plan update was in development during the same time period of the Noblesville Safety Action Plan, and so information was shared between both planning teams to ensure strategies for both plans met the needs of improving bicycle and pedestrian mobility and safety.





## **Emphasis Areas**

Consistent with planning goals established in other plans, as well as the public engagement process, key emphasis areas were identified. Emphasis areas are overarching topics identified as key predictors of this plan's success. Safety improvements and strategic recommendations are aimed at improving safety for all throughout Noblesville but with special consideration given for areas of emphasis. Emphasis areas include:

- > Pedestrian safety in downtown Noblesville
- > Multimodal access to downtown Noblesville
- > Bicycle and pedestrian safety at roundabouts
- > Pedestrian crossings along high traffic and/or multi-lane corridors

## **Key Concepts** Vision Zero

Vision Zero is the global movement to end traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries by incorporating a Safe System Approach to roadway safety. Though sometimes used interchangeably, Vision Zero is the goal and a Safe System Approach is the way to achieve that goal.

#### **Toward Zero Deaths**

Toward Zero Deaths is another traffic safety program focused on reducing traffic fatalities to zero. Toward Zero Deaths and Vision Zero are complimentary efforts that support the same goals and the use of a Safe System Approach. Toward Zero Deaths emphasizes the development of a traffic safety culture focusing on educational programing and safe driving behaviors.



#### **Vulnerable Road Users**

Vulnerable Road User is a term meant to describe those who are most at risk in the event of a crash. The term is often applied to pedestrians and bicyclists but sometimes broadened to include motorcyclists or specified to the elderly or the disabled. The concept of a vulnerable road user is important because they account for a growing share of roadway fatalities in the U.S.<sup>1</sup>

1 https://highways.dot.gov/sites/fhwa.dot.gov/files/2022-10/ VRU%20Safety%20Assessment%20Guidance%20FINAL\_508.pdf



#### **Transportation Equity**

Transportation equity is fairness with respect to the distribution of access, mobility, connectivity, opportunity, benefits, and impacts of circumstances affecting the provision of a safe, reliable, and affordable transportation system and services.<sup>2</sup> Transportation equity can be classified into three types:

- Procedural equity is focused on the degree of involvement of diverse public stakeholders in the processes by which transportation decisions are made.
- Geographic equity focuses on the distribution of impacts across geography
- and space. > **Social equity** is focused on the distribution

across



population groups that can be equal or differ by income, social class, and mobility ability.

#### **Disadvantaged Communities**

Disadvantaged communities are communities that experience disproportionately high and adverse health, environmental, climate related, economic, and other cumulative impacts.<sup>3</sup>

#### **Underserved Communities**

Underserved communities are populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate is aspects of economic, social, and civic life.<sup>4</sup>

#### 2 https://www.vtpi.org/equity.pdf 3,4 https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/files/2022-04/ Equity\_Action\_Plan.pdf

#### **Crashes, Not Accidents**

The specific language used to describe events can significantly alter the meaning. Fatal and serious injuries have a real impact on crash victims and families who must face the realities of an unforgiving transportation system. The term 'accident' implies there is little that can be done to prevent an event where no fault is evident. However, crashes are preventable, and changing semantics can profoundly alter people's perception of the problem and empower communities to end traffic violence and make safer streets.

#### **Injury Status Classifications**

Crash data analyzed for this project includes all crashes in Noblesville from 2018 - 2022. Each record in the crash data represents one crash and includes an injury status for each crash:

- Fatal Injury: Any injury that results in death within a 30 day period after the crash occurred.
- Incapacitating Injury: A non-fatal injury that prevents the injured person from walking, driving, or normally continuing the activities the person was capable of performing before the injury occurred.

Incapacitating injuries are also referred to as serious injuries and together with fatal injuries are the target of this safety action plan.

- > Non-incapacitating Injury: An injury, other than a fatal or incapacitating injury, which is evident to the officer at the scene of the crash and may require medical treatment.
- Possible Injury: Any injury reported or claimed which is not visible.
- > Property Damage Only (PDO): No apparent injuries





# **Engagement Overview**

Public and stakeholder engagement is a critical component of any successful planning process and aims to increase transparency, build trust and credibility, and promote collaboration between members of the community, experts in the field, and agency decision makers. Public input was gathered continuously throughout the project and incorporated into the final plan and recommendations. For more detail about engagement throughout the planning process, see Appendix B and Appendix C.

# **Steering Committee**

The Noblesville Safety Action Plan Steering Committee was established to provide the City and project team with expert knowledge and connections to various groups and industries. The Steering Committee was tasked with assisting in the development, implementation, and monitoring of the plan in compliance with requirement number two in the 2023 SS4A Self-Certification Eligibility Worksheet.

The Steering Committee is composed of various City departments including engineering, planning, police, fire, and the Mayor's office. Steering Committee members committed to membership responsibilities including:

- Sharing knowledge and ideas with the project team,
- > Encouraging others to get involved,
- Assuming leadership roles to ensure the plan is implemented, and
- > Developing a program to monitor the plan's success.



NAME	TITLE	DEPARTMENT
Denise Aschleman	Principal Planner	Planning Department
Eric Cunningham	Deputy Chief	Police Department
Uriah Eddingfield	Assistant Chief	Fire Department
Jeff Hendricks	Public Safety Technology Specialist	Public Safety
Robert Herrington	Press Secretary	Mayor's Office
Patty Johnson	Commissioner	Street Department
Sacha Lingerfeldt	Administrative Manager	Engineering Department
James Macky	Division Chief	Fire Department
Marley Pagel	Sergeant/Community Outreach	Police Department
Andrew Rodewald	Project Manager	Engineering Department

TABLE 1: STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

The project team met regularly with the Steering Committee to provide project updates, receive critical feedback and guidance, and to ensure community input was received, understood, and incorporated.

# -Grest Park. Photo by Hamilton County Tourism

# **Stakeholder Outreach**

With assistance from the Steering Committee, key transportation safety stakeholders were identified. Stakeholders then participated in small group interviews to assess how different groups view issues of roadway safety and potential strategies to improve safety. The small group interviews provided a means of gathering detailed and valuable information, perspectives, and opinions. Stakeholders represented various local and regional agencies and organizations including:

- > Noblesville Police
- Noblesville Fire/Emergency Management
- > Hamilton County Health Department
- Hamilton County Highway Department
- > Noblesville Mayor's Office
- > Riverview Health
- > Shepard's Center of Hamilton County
- > Janus Developmental Services
- > Noblesville School District
- > Hamilton Southeastern School District



The small group settings allowed key stakeholders to describe in detail the issues impacting those they serve. The interviews also gave the project team the opportunity to have thorough, candid conversations to build a depth of understanding about issues impacting the community. Each small group was given the chance to discuss items most relevant to them and their service and provide input on potential strategies that could improve roadway safety for all users.

## **Public Outreach**

Public outreach efforts consisted of inperson events, engagement on social media, regular email updates, and online activities. Public outreach began early in the planning process, with a specific focus to reach underserved or

disadvantaged groups and vulnerable road users. The goal of public outreach was to hear from residents about safety concerns, incorporate public input into the plan recommendations, and educate the public about the Safe System Approach and effective safety improvement strategies.

#### **Public Input Survey**

The public input survey was available to the public for approximately six weeks. The purpose of the survey was to gather public preference for topics to address in the plan, assess general attitudes and behaviors that impact roadway safety, and identify safety improvements that generate broad public support.

The public input received from the survey was incorporated into the overall strategy of the plan as well as specific safety improvements.









FIGURE 2: TOPICS PEOPLE WANT ADDRESSED IN THE PLAN

"Thanks for leading the way on this. My family and I are relatively new residents to Noblesville and we love it here. I love to see the proactive approach to continued improvement." -Aaron









FIGURE 4: SUPPORT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TARGETING DRIVERS

FIGURE 5: SUPPORT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TARGETING BICYCLISTS



"More street lighting, specifically in the non-downtown area. It can be hard to see the lanes and where you are turning at night." -Anonymous





FIGURE 6: SUPPORT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TARGETING PEDESTRIANS

FIGURE 7: SUPPORT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TARGETING DOWNTOWN



"I would love to see all of Noblesville more walkable. Also, there needs to be a way for pedestrians that live east of (SR)37 to cross the highway to have the option to walk or bike into downtown Noblesville. " -Anonymous



#### **Public Input Mapping Tool**

The Noblesville Safety Action Plan Public Input Mapping Tool is an online crowdsourcing map that was available in combination with the online pubic survey. The mapping tool allowed the public to anonymously submit new safety problems or opportunities to the map, view other submitted items, and vote for items they liked or agreed with.

Input from the mapping tool was incorporated to inform the high injury network as well as potential safety improvements.

"While crossing in many roundabouts, motorist do NOT give the right of way to pedestrians." -Anonymous "(Opportunity to add) sidewalks along (SR)32 to allow pedestrian/bike access from western Noblesville to downtown." -Anonymous

#### SAFETY ACTION PLAN PUBLIC INPUT SURVEY AND ONLINE MAPPING TOOL

Noblesville was awarded a U.S. Department of Transportation Safe Streets and Roads For All (SS4A) grant in early 2023 to develop a Safety Action Plan.

This data-driven and community-involved plan will suggest projects and strategies to improve safety for all users of the City's transportation network. The Safety Action Plan will allow the City to apply for grants to help fund safety improvement projects.

Noblesville Safety Action Plan



 If O
 The City of Noblesville is committed to reducing and committed to reducing and eliminating traffic deaths and serious injuries.

 Sut
 Your valuable input will be heard by local leaders and used to develop the and used to develop the rade strategies to improve roadway safety in Noblesville

ΣÂŻ

ΣÑΖ

ŧ

SHARE YOUR THOUGHTS BY TAKING OUR SURVEY AND CONTRIBUTING TO THE PUBLIC INPUT ONLINE MAPPING TOOL

Noblesville Safety Action Plan Public Ir

WWW.SURVEYMONKEY.COM/R/YCSX67R











#### **Open House Events**

As part of the public engagement process, multiple informal open house events were held to solicit public input and share findings and progress throughout the plan development process. A combination of email and social media was used to publicize each event and encourage the public to attend.

The first open house event was held on November 9, 2023, in conjunction with the community open house for the Noblesville Alternative Transportation (NAT) Plan. By aligning early efforts with those of the NAT plan, the public was engaged early in the process and efforts were built on previous work instead of duplicated. The first open house introduced the concept of the safety action plan to the public and initiated the online survey and mapping tool.

The second open house was held on January 18, 2024, at Noblesville City Hall.

This event served two main purposes: (1) to provide the public with a progress update including results of the online survey and mapping tool, and (2) to seek input on priority improvements, programs, and policies.







A third open house event was held on January 15, 2025, at Stony Creek Elementary School. This event was an opportunity to engage directly with the surrounding Disadvantaged Community regarding the planning process and specifically on improving safety along the Greenfield Avenue corridor. The event was marketed by the City on Nextdoor. Input received by attendees will be incorporated into future concepts for Greenfield Avenue.









## **National Trends**

Traffic crashes are a leading cause of preventable death in the United States. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), 2021 saw nearly 43,000 traffic deaths across the nation, a 16-year high. Almost 43,000 people were again killed in traffic crashes in 2022. Since 2020, notable increases include:

- > Fatalities on urban roads up 16%
- > Pedestrian fatalities up 13%
- > Bicycle fatalities up 5%
- > Speeding related fatalities up 5%





# Local Trends

Local trends within the City of Noblesville relied on multiple sources of crash data for five years from 2018-2022. Fatal and serious injury crashes were obtained from the IMPO, which cleaned and spatially located crash records from Indiana ARIES (Automated Reporting Information Exchange System). Crash records reported by the Noblesville Police Department were cleaned and verified by city staff. Finally, all other crashes not reported by Noblesville Police but by other police agencies, were obtained from ARIES.



From 2018-2022, there were approximately 1,300 crashes each year in Noblesville. After a dramatic decline in crashes in 2020 due most likely to the Covid-19 pandemic and reduced vehicle trips and vehicle miles traveled, total crashes per year increased in both 2021 and 2022. Following a similar trend, fatal and incapacitating crashes dropped significantly in 2020 and increased in both 2021 and 2022. On average, there are three fatalities and over 300 injuries each year on the roadways. Crashes per year are shown in Figure 11.

At nearly 40% of all crashes, rear end crashes are the most prevalent crash type in Noblesville followed by right angle (19%), sideswipe (13%), and ran off the road (10%). Approximately 10% of all crashes result in a fatal or incapacitating injury; however, certain crash types are more likely to result in fatal or incapacitating injuries. Twenty-six percent of head on crashes, 16% of ran off the road crashes, and 15% of right angle crashes result in a fatal or incapacitating injury. Crash types are shown in Table 2. Just as the type of crash has a significant impact on the likelihood of a fatal or incapacitating injury, so too does the type of user involved in the crash. Every single one of the 52 pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved crashes led to fatal or incapacitating injuries. Figure 12 and Figure 13 illustrate the dramatic difference in crash severity between vehicle-only crashes and crashes involving pedestrians or bicyclists. As vulnerable road users, pedestrians and bicyclists are **ten times** more likely to be killed or seriously injured in a collision with vehicle than a person in a vehicle-only crash.

All fatal and incapacitating crashes are shown on the maps in Figure 14. Figure 15 shows fatal and incapacitating crashes in and around downtown Noblesville.





MANNER OF COLLISION	TOTAL CRASHES	PERCENT OF TOTAL	FATAL & INCAPACITATING	PERCENT FATAL & INCAPACITATING
Rear End	2,446	39%	141	6%
Right Angle	1,175	19%	171	15%
Same Direction Sideswipe	810	13%	15	2%
Ran Off Road	629	10%	100	16%
Left Turn	375	6%	44	12%
Other	286	5%	48	17%
Opposite Direction Sideswipe	159	3%	11	7%
Collision With Animal	148	2%	3	2%
Head On Between Two Vehicles	116	2%	30	26%
Right Turn	109	2%	8	7%
Bicycle or Pedestrian	52	1%	52	100%
TOTAL	6,305	100%	623	10%

#### TABLE 2: CRASHES BY TYPE & SEVERITY





FIGURE 13: BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN CRASH SEVERITY













# **High Injury Network**

The High Injury Network (HIN) represents the small number of roadways (33 miles) that experience the majority of fatal and serious-injury crashes. The HIN helps decision makers prioritize safety improvements so that improvements have the greatest potential reduction of fatal and serious injury crashes.

The Noblesville High Injury Network identifies the majority of all serious injuries and a third of all fatal injuries on just 5% of the total street network.

Approximately 50% of the HIN is owned and maintained by the City, with the other half owned and maintained by Westfield (along the perimeter of Noblesville city limits), Hamilton County, or INDOT (Table 3). The HIN ownership is shown on the maps in Figure 16 and Figure 17. Table 4 summarizes the HIN by functional

classification. Principal and minor arterials make up over 70% of the HIN.

#### Methodology

To develop the HIN, a safety index score was created for each roadway segment and intersection. The safety index score represents a data-driven metric for overall roadway safety whereby higher scores mean greater observed history of fatal and incapacitating injury crashes. For more detail on the development of the HIN, see Appendix E.

#### **High Injury Network Statistics**

#### 5% of streets

65% of all crashes
64% of all injuries
57% of serious injury crashes
32% of fatal injury crashes

 TABLE 3: HIN OWNERSHIP SUMMARY

OWNER	MILES	PERCENT OF HIN	AVERAGE SAFETY INDEX SCORE
City of Noblesville	17.5	52.3%	10.1
City of Westfield	0.6	1.9%	6.6
Hamilton County	1.8	5.5%	10.8
INDOT	13.5	40.3%	14.9
TOTAL	32.2	100%	

TABLE 4: HIN FUNCTIONAL CLASS SUMMARY

FUNCTIONAL CLASS	MILES	PERCENT OF HIN	AVERAGE SAFETY INDEX SCORE
Principal Arterial	18.5	55.4%	10.4
Minor Arterial	4.8	14.4%	15.9
Major Collector	6.3	18.7%	12.6
Minor Collector	0.9	2.7%	7.3
Local	3.0	8.8%	13.3
TOTAL	33.4	100%	

#### Notable streets on the HIN include: > SR37

- > SR32
- > SR38
- > 146th Street
- > 10th Street
   > Pleasant Street
- > Cumberland Road
- > Boden Road
- > Olio Road





FIGURE 17: HIGH INJURY NETWORK, DOWNTOWN enue 0 stfield Road 0 Noblesville-Road Ver Riv LEGEND O HIN Intersections Pleasant Street HIN - Noblesville HIN - Westfield HIN - Hamilton County HIN - INDOT City of Noblesville Town & 0.5 Miles Map data @ OpenStreetMap contributors, Microsoft, Face Esri Conenunity Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri 0.25 and its affiliates, 1 Stor



## **Equity Analysis**

A safe and equitable transportation system expands access to opportunities for all Noblesville residents and helps to reduce the disparate economic, environmental, and health burdens experienced by disadvantaged and under-served communities. Noblesville is committed to an equitable distribution of safety improvements so that all residents of all abilities can feel safe when traveling.

To confront and address decades of underinvestment, the Justice40 Initiative, established by the Biden-Harris Administration, made it a goal that 40% of benefits of certain Federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities. The USDOT's SS4A program is covered by the Justice40 Initiative, and eligible safety action plans are required to consider the equity of projects and strategies. The Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST) is an interactive mapping tool that is used to identify disadvantaged communities. Disadvantaged communities are those that meet or exceed the threshold for one or more environmental, climate. or other burdens. The CEJST identifies one Census tract in Noblesville that is considered disadvantaged.

In addition to the CEJST disadvantaged community, a local equity index was created to further identify local areas of concern related to equity. The local equity index relies on demographic indicators at the Census block group level as shown in Table 5.

#### **CEJST Noblesville, IN**

Tract information Number: 18057110700 County: Hamilton County State: Indiana Population: 2,883

#### Tract demographics

Race / Ethnicity (Hide < )	
White	85%
Black or African American	3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0%
Other	3%
Two or more races	3%
Hispanic or Latino	5%
Age ( <u>Hide</u> ^)	
Children under 10	9%
Ages 10 - 64	79%
Elderly over 65	11%



This tract is considered disadvantaged because it meets more than 1 burden threshold **AND** the associated socioeconomic threshold.

DEMOGRAPHIC INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION
People of Color	Percent of total population reported as non-white.
Poverty	Percent of households with income in the past 12 months below poverty level.
Limited English Proficiency	Percent of households reported as limited English speaking.

#### TABLE 5: EQUITY DEMOGRAPHIC INDICATORS



The local equity index is a composite of the demographic indicators where higher index values indicate higher concentrations of key equity demographics. Figure 18 shows the CEJST disadvantaged communities and the results of the equity index analysis.

Since higher equity index scores mean higher percentages of targeted equity demographics, census block groups with local equity index scores in the 80th percentile and above were combined with the CEJST disadvantaged communities to establish equity target areas (ETAs). ETAs are identified communities to target and prioritize safety improvements to improve equitable outcomes throughout the City. The ETAs are primarily located around downtown and in the southern portions of the City. Despite making up 21% of the total population and 23% of households, ETAs are home to 35% of the total non-white population, 60% of total households in poverty, and 60% of total households with limited English proficiency.





Figure 19 shows ETAs overlaid with the HIN to illustrate the relationship between equity and fatal and incapacitating crashes. Approximately 38% of all fatal and incapacitating crashes occur within ETAs demonstrating a disproportionate impact within these key communities. Furthermore, 60% of the HIN is located within ETAs showing the need for targeted safety improvements. The results of this equity analysis are used to target appropriate policies and programs and prioritize locations for safety countermeasures.

For more information about the equity analysis, see Appendix D.

#### TABLE 6: EQUITY TARGET AREAS SUMMARY

EQUITY TARGET AREA	POPULATION	HOUSEHOLDS	FATAL & INCAPACITATING CRASHES	HIGH INJURY NETWORK
Yes	21%	23%	38%	60%
No	79%	77%	62%	40%







Noblesville Safety Action Plan | 31



# Proven Safety Countermeasures

This plan and recommendations rely on a comprehensive understanding of crashes observed throughout the City as well as national best practices to inform effective strategies to improve safety. The safety toolkit is a reference of context-sensitive proven safety countermeasures that address predominant crash characteristics, roadway or intersection configurations, and other relevant trends observed throughout the planning process. The safety toolkit provides key information for each proven safety countermeasure including expected safety benefits, applicable locations, and important design considerations.

Crash conditions and contextual circumstances drive the suitability of each safety countermeasure for a specific situation or location. The safety toolkit offers decision makers the ability to select from multiple appropriate countermeasures and identify those that best align with available resources and public preferences in order to address a specific safety problem.

The safety toolkit includes safety countermeasures referenced in the HIN location recommendations along with others based on national best practices and the City's desire to improve the pedestrian experience and protect vulnerable road users. The complete safety toolkit is found in Appendix A.


COUNTERMEASURE	SAFETY BENEFITS
Bicycle lanes	30%-49% reduction in total crashes
Leading Pedestrian Intervals	13% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)	47% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Medians	46%-56% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Pedestrian Refuge Island	56% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Crosswalk Visibility Enhancements	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Walkways	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Reverse Angle Parking	Improved sight lines for bicyclists and motorists; vehicle passengers channeled to curb
Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections	22%-63% reduction in fatal and injury crashes
Dedicated Left- and Right-Turn Lanes at Intersections	28%-48% reduction in total crashes (left turn lanes) 14%-26% reduction in total crashes (right turn lanes)
Signage	Effective at alerting drivers to oncoming hazards and reducing crashes.
Backplates with Retro-reflective Borders	15% reduction in total crashes
Yellow Change Intervals	12% reduction in injury crashes
Dynamic Speed Monitoring Display	Effective at reducing vehicle speeds, improving driver awareness, and promoting safer driving behaviors.
Roundabouts	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB or HAWK)	29% reduction in total crashes 55% reduction in pedestrian crashes
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at Stop-Controlled Intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes
Road Diet	19%-47% reduction in total crashes
Corridor Access Management	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes along urban/suburban arterials
Curb Extensions	Increase pedestrian visibility; reduce pedestrian crossing distance
Automated Enforcement	20%-54% reduction in total and fatal and injury crashes

TABLE 7: LIST OF POTENTIAL SAFETY COUNTERMEASURES





## **HIN Strategies**

In order to reach this plan's goal, and the City's Toward Zero resolution, additional funding should be directed to locations where safety improvements will have the largest impact. The high injury network is the basis of the safety focused location evaluation and prioritization. However, to provide context sensitive solutions, the HIN was broken down into location groups of similar corridors and/or intersections.

HIN location groups experience similar crash types, have similar roadway characteristics, have similar nearby land uses, or are otherwise grouped together to allow for an improvement project of appropriate scope and scale. For each HIN location, a detailed evaluation of crashes is provided along with prioritization criteria and potential strategies. The HIN locations grouped for further evaluation and strategies are detailed on the following pages.

For each location group, key information is presented including extents, existing conditions, and observed crash history. Notes about some locations are also included to provide additional context when and where necessary.





#### **Strategies**

Strategies for each location consider existing roadway design, geometry, and adjacent land uses and attempt to provide options ranging from simple and low-cost solutions to complex, higher-cost, and long-term solutions. Any known recently completed or funded projects were also considered and noted. Note: Pleasant Street was not evaluated due to an existing project included in the IMPO Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) (Project ID 2508); as of April 2024, the Pleasant Street project is under construction from 19th Street to SR 38/Hague Road.

Strategies are categorized as either shortterm/low-cost improvements or long-term capital projects. Short-term/low-cost improvements are those that require less design, coordination, and funding and can be implemented quickly within 1-2 years. Long-term capital projects are those that will require more planning, design, coordination, and funding and will most like take 3 or more years for implementation.

Costs provided are planning level general cost estimates for each strategy. General cost estimates may not consider all contextual circumstances at each location. Generally, cost estimates provided follow the ranges as shown below:

\$ = \$0 - \$100k \$\$ = \$100k - \$500k \$\$\$ = \$500k - \$1M \$\$\$\$ = \$1M+

#### Prioritization

For each location group, prioritization criteria are listed and include:

- Number of fatal and incapacitating (F & I) crashes
- > Safety index (for segments (S) and intersections (I))
- Whether the location is within an equity target area (ETA or CEJST)

Base on the prioritization criteria, priority tiers indicate level of priority for each location. Priority tier 1 indicates locations with highest priority. Prioritization is summarized in Table 8.







PRIORITY TIER	LOCATION	F & I CRASHES	SAFETY INDEX	ETA	CEJST
	Downtown Noblesville	56	S: 19.2 I: 7.6	Yes	Yes
	Olio Road I-69 to SR 38	16	S: 3.3 I: 19.1	No	No
	Greenfield Road Howe Road to 10th Street	22	S: 8.1 I: 33.9	Yes	Yes
	10th Street Pleasant St to Carbon St	25	S: 5.1 I: 27.4	Yes	Yes
<b>'</b>	146th Street Allisonville Rd to Cumberland Rd	52	S: 19.1 I: 21.3	Yes	No
	Suburban Intersections	125	S: n/a l: 3.4-28.9	Yes	No
	SR 37 Allisonville Rd to 141st St	82	S: 2.1 I: 51.7	Yes	Yes
	SR 32/Conner Street Presley Dr to Hague Rd	66	S: 64.1 I: 45.5	Yes	Yes
	Harrell Parkway/Tegler Drive Brooks School Rd to Olio Rd	5	S: 22.7 I: 16.6	No	No
	Boden Road Campus Pkwy to SR 38	29	S: 10.8 I: 18.7	Yes	No
	186th Street 10th St to SR 37	4	S: 4.2 I: 25.9	No	No
	Cherry Street 12th St to SR 37	14	S: 2.2 I: 3.6	Yes	Yes
2	Campus Parkway Boden Rd to I-69 Interchange	36	S: 9.9 I: 18.7	No	No
	206th Street Hague Rd to SR 37	14	S: 0.4 I: 8.5	No	No
	SR 32 & SR 38 Junction	14	S: 3.4 I: 17.8	No	No
	SR 32/Westfield Road Hague Rd to Gray Rd	26	S: 13.3 I: 23.0	No	No
	SR38/Sheridan Road Moontown Rd to SR 32	25	S: 18.9 I: 24.0	No	No
F & I Crashes = Fatal and Incapacitating Crashes Safety Index: S = Segment; I = Intersection ETA = 80th+ percentile equity index CEJST = Climate & Economic Justice Screening Tool Disadvantaged Community					

TABLE 8: HIN LOCATIONS PRIORITIZATION





Noblesville Safety Action Plan | 37

## **Downtown Noblesville**

#### **Existing Conditions**

The study limits defined as downtown Noblesville are bounded by the White River, Wayne Street, 12th Street, and Division Street.

FIGURE 20: DOWNTOWN NOBLESVILLE, CRASH PER



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 309 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 56 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 7

### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 19.2 Intersection Safety Index: 7.6 Equity Target Area: Yes

### Strategies

The downtown area has a high concentration of pedestrian and bicyclist activity. Recommendations include strategies to improve safety for bicyclists and pedestrians. While many strategies can be implemented systemically, others such as raised intersections, should be part of larger transformation projects.

#### TABLE 9: DOWNTOWN NOBLESVILLE, STRATEGIES

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Leading pedestrian intervals	Improve visibility of pedestrians	13% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$
Crosswalk visibility enhancements	Improve crossing safety and comfort for pedestrians	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
Yellow change intervals	Reduce red light running	12% reduction in injury crashes	\$
Tactical urbanism	Temporary pedestrian improvements to test success	n/a	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Curb extensions Increase pedestrian visibility; reduce pedestrian crossing distance			\$\$
Reverse angle parking	Improved sight lines for bicyclists and motorists; vehicle passengers channeled to curb		
Pedestrian refuge island and PHB or RRFB at mid-block crossings	Improve crossing safety, visibility, and comfort for pedestrians	47%-56% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$\$
Raised intersections	Reduce vehicle speeds and improve pedestrian comfort	46% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$\$\$\$











## Olio Road I-69 to SR 38/Pendleton Avenue

#### **Existing Conditions**

Olio Road is major collector that runs north-south. It is currently a 4-lane section from Campus Parkway to 146th Street/Greenfield Avenue and transitions to a 2-lane section north of 146th Street.

#### Notes

A roundabout was constructed at Olio Road and 146th Street in 2021, which may have temporarily led a temporary increase in crashes, but it is anticipated that this intersection improvement will reduce crashes moving forward, particularly rightangle crashes, which have the potential to result in severe injuries.





#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 112 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 16 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 3.3 Intersection Safety Index: 19.1 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes two Olio Road projects. There is a committed project to widen Olio Road from Tegler Road/146th Street to 146th Street to a 4-lane section (Project ID 2507). There is an illustrative project to widen from 146th Street to SR 32/SR 38 to a 4-lane road (Project ID 2106).

Intersection improvements along Olio Road are recommended through a systemic approach and may include improved signage and enhanced pavement markings. A roundabout at Olio Road and 166th is also recommended as a long-term improvement to reduce right angle crashes at this intersection.

Table	1 <b>0</b> :	<b>O</b> LI0	Road,	STRATEGIES
-------	--------------	--------------	-------	------------

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST	
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements		
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$	
Long-Term Capital Projects				
Roundabout at Olio Road and 166th Street	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$	









Σŷζ

## **Greenfield Road**

### Howe Road to 10th Street

#### **Existing Conditions**

Greenfield Avenue is a 2-lane principal arterial from Howe Road to Cumberland Road and transitions to a 4-lane roadway from Cumberland Road to SR 37 and then transitions back to a 2-lane roadway from SR 37 to 10th Street. There is a sidewalk/multi-use path along Greenfield Avenue from Stony Creek Elementary School to 10th St.

#### Notes

A roundabout was constructed at Greenfield Road and Howe Road in 2019.

FIGURE 26: GREENFIELD ROAD, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### TABLE 11: BODEN ROAD, STRATEGIES

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 198 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 22 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index Score: 8.1 Intersection Safety Index Score: 33.9 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes widening Greenfield Road from a 2-lane road to a 4-lane road in the future, but at the time this safety action plan was written no funding source has been identified.

Road Safety Audits (RSAs) are recommended at Cumberland Road and 10th Street. These intersections experience unexpected crash types and severities and will benefit from more detailed study.

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements	
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Left turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$
Roundabout at 16th Street	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$
Shared use path	Improve pedestrian mobility and safety	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$-\$\$\$
Corridor access management from 10th Street to Holland Street	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$













## **10th Street**

### Pleasant Street to Carbon Street

#### **Existing Conditions**

10th Street is a local 2-lane road that runs northsouth. This section of 10th Street is south of downtown Noblesville, between Pleasant Street and Carbon Street. Sidewalks are present along 10th Street north of South Street.



#### FIGURE 29: 10th Street, CRASHES PER YEAR

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 147 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 25 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 5.1 Intersection Safety Index: 27.4 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes a programmed project to widen 10th Street/Allisonville Road from 2-lanes to 4-lanes from Greenfield Avenue to 146th Street. As of April 2024, construction is underway on a roundabout at 10th Street and Pleasant Street.

A Road Safety Audit (RSA) is also recommended at for the intersection of 10th Street and Greenfield Road.

#### TABLE 12: 10TH STREET, STRATEGIES

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST	
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements		
Crosswalk visibility enhancements	Improve crossing safety and comfort for pedestrians	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$	
Long-Term Capital Projects				
Bicycle lanes or walkways	Improve pedestrian mobility and safety	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$-\$\$\$	
PHB or RRFB at mid- block locations	Improve crossing safety, visibility, and comfort for pedestrians	47%-56% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$\$	











## 146th Street

### Allisonville Road to Cumberland Road

#### **Existing Conditions**

146th Street from Allisonville Road to Cumberland Road is a 4-lane principal arterial with turn lanes at major intersections. This section of 146th Street includes an interchange with SR 37 providing regional connections, 3 signalized intersections, and a trail crossing at the Nickel Plate Trail.

There is a crossing with the new Nickle Plate Trail. A multi-use path is present on the south side of 146th Street from Allisonville Road to Herriman Road and from Tom Wood Way to Cumberland Road. East of North Point Boulevard, there is also a multiuse path on the north side of the street.

#### FIGURE 32: 146TH STREET, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 372 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 52 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 19.1 Intersection Safety Index: 21.3 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### **Strategies**

There is a cluster of crashes at unsignalized intersections and driveways along 146st Street near the commercial district adjacent to the intersection with SR 37. Access management is recommended, including but not limited to improved turn lane delineation, raised medians, and driveway consolidation.

Improvements are recommended at the Nickel Plate Trail crossing. A grade separated crossing is currently in design and would offer maximum benefits to trail users. Other improvement concepts are included here if the grade separated crossing is not ultimately constructed.

The corridor is under Hamilton County jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation.

TABLE	13:	<b>146</b> тн	Street,	<b>S</b> TRATEGIES
-------	-----	---------------	---------	--------------------

STRATEGY PURPOSE		BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Crosswalk visibility enhancements at Nickel Plate Trail	Improve crossing safety and comfort for trail user	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$
Pedestrian refuge island and PHB or RRFB at Nickel Plate Trail	Improve crossing safety, visibility, and comfort for trail users	47%-56% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$\$











## **Suburban Intersections**

#### **Existing Conditions**

This location includes 16 intersections in suburban settings including four existing roundabouts and eight existing signalized intersections.

FIGURE 35: SUBURBAN INTERSECTIONS, CRASHES



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 587 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 125 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 2

#### **Prioritization**

Intersection Safety Index: 3.4 - 28.9

### **Strategies**

Improvements may be approached systematically for all intersections or a group of intersections.

Priority intersections include:

- > 146th Street & Gray Road
- > River Road & 146th Street
- > Hazell Dell Drive & 146th Street

TABLE	14:	SUBURBAN	INTERSECTIONS,	<b>S</b> TRATEGIES
				•

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements	
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
Wider edge lines	Reduce roadway departures (ran off road crashes)	37% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$
Roundabouts	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$





#### FIGURE 36: SUBURBAN INTERSECTIONS, CRASH TYPES & SEVERITY

FIGURE 37: SUBURBAN INTERSECTIONS, MAP





## SR 37 Allisonville Road to 141st Street

#### **Existing Conditions**

SR 37 is a 4-lane principal arterial with a divided median. The study limits begin at Allisonville Road and end at 141st Street.



#### FIGURE 38: SR 37, CRASHES PER YEAR

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 1,047 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 82 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 5

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 2.1 Intersection Safety Index: 51.7 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### Strategies

As part of the "37 Thrives" project, the SR 37 and 146th Street intersection was recently reconstructed as a single-point urban interchange with free flow traffic on SR 37, and the SR 37 and 141st Street intersection was restricted to right-in right-out access on 141st Street.

INDOT and the City of Noblesville are pursuing a project on SR 37 from Greenfield Avenue to SR 32/SR 38. At the time this safety action plan was completed, there was an active RFP for project development services, including environmental, design, and right-of-way services.

Potential improvements along SR 37 will promote safety for all road users along SR 37 and adjacent streets. The Project envisions transforming SR 37 from a divided highway with four traditional signalized intersections to a context-sensitive design of a grade-separated expressway with three continuous flow roundabout interchanges and access management for remaining at-grade intersections with right-in-right-out. Seven additional intersections on arterials adjacent to SR 37 will also be reconstructed as roundabouts.







Σŷζ

# SR 32 / Conner Street

### Presley Drive to Hague Road

#### **Existing Conditions**

SR 32/Conner Street is 2-lane Principal Arterial that runs east-west through Downtown Noblesville. This roadway runs through the downtown central business district and therefore overlaps with the Downtown Noblesville project.

#### Notes

Roundabouts were recently constructed at SR 32/Conner Street and River Road and at SR 38/ Sheridan Road junction at Riverview Health campus.

The corridor is under INDOT jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation.

FIGURE 41: SR 32 / CONNER STREET, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### TABLE 15: SR 32 / CONNER STREET, STRATEGIES

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 834 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 66 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 7

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 64.1 Intersection Safety Index: 45.5 Equity Target Area: Yes

### **Strategies**

Separated pedestrian and bicyclist facilities (shared use path) are recommended due to the exposure to high-speed vehicles on SR 32/Conner Street, and multi-lane roundabouts present on the corridor.

Corridor access management is recommended from 16th Street to Cumberland Road and may include the following:

- Add a median and convert the intersection with 19th Street to a Right-In Right-Out, Left-In (RIRO-LI) or a roundabout.
- Evaluate of traffic control (including signal warrants and alternative intersection types) for the intersection of SR 32/Conner Street and 17th Street.
- > Detailed traffic analysis will need to be performed to determine the best solution for access management.

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST		
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements			
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$		
Long-Term Capital Projects					
Walkways and/or bicycle lanes	Improve safety of bicyclists and pedestrians by separating modes	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$-\$\$\$		
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$		









# Harrell Parkway/Tegler Drive

### Brooks School Road to Olio Road

#### **Existing Conditions**

Harrell Parkway and Tegler Drive are minor collectors that provide access to the Hamilton Town Center and the Saxony Campus. Land use in this area is primarily commercial.

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 72 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 5 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 22.7 Intersection Safety Index: 16.6 Equity Target Area: No

FIGURE 44: HARRELL PARKWAY / TEGLER DRIVE, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Strategies**

Intersection improvements along the Harrell Parkway and Tegler Drive are recommended. Strategies at this location are aimed at reducing rear end, right angle, and left turn crashes. Access management along Tegler Drive is recommended including improved turn lane delineation, raised medians, and driveway consolidation.

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	1
Left turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$
Roundabout at Tegler Dr. and Bergen Blvd.	Reduce rear end and right angle crashes	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$
Corridor access management	Reduce rear end and turning crashes	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$





FIGURE 45: HARRELL PARKWAY / TEGLER DRIVE, CRASH TYPES & SEVERITY



ΣŴΖ

## **Boden Road**

### Campus Parkway to SR 38/Pendleton Avenue

#### **Existing Conditions**

Boden Road is a 4-lane divided principal arterial from Campus Parkway to 146th Street/Greenfield Avenue and transitions to a 2-lane local road north of 146th Street. There is a sidewalk/multi-use path present along Boden Road from Campus Parkway to Mojo UP Sports Complex (south of 166th Street).

#### Notes

A roundabout was constructed at Boden Road and 156th Street in 2022.





#### TABLE 17: BODEN ROAD, STRATEGIES

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 178 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 29 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index Score: 10.8 Intersection Safety Index Score: 18.7 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes widening Boden Road from a 2-lane road to a 4-lane road in the future, but at the time this safety action plan was written no funding source has been identified. The project extents include Boden Road from 146th Street to SR 38.

A Road Safety Audit (RSA) is also recommended at the SR 38/Pendleton Avenue and Boden Road intersection and the 146th Street and Boden Road intersection. Improvements may be programmed with the upcoming roadway widening project.

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
Long-Term Capital Projects			
Left turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$
Roundabout at Boden Road and 166th Street	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$









## 186th Street

## 10th Street/Allisonville Road to SR 37

#### **Existing Conditions**

186th Street is a 2-lane major collector with turn lanes at major intersections. This corridor consists of 186th Street from 10th Street / Allisonville Road to SR 37.

The land use adjacent to the corridor is single family residential and educational, including Noblesville High School and Middle School.

A sidewalk is present along the south side of this section of 186th Street that intersects with the Cumberland Road Trail and the Allisonville / White River Trail at 10th Street.

FIGURE 50: 186TH STREET, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 32 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 4 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 4.2 Intersection Safety Index: 25.9 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

The high school and middle school are pedestrian and bicyclist generators; therefore the following countermeasures should be considered:

- > Crosswalk visibility enhancements
- > RRFB or PHB at mid-block crossings
- Shared use path or sidewalk along north side of roadway

Access management is recommended, including but not limited to improved turn lane delineation, raised medians, and driveway consolidation. Raised medians may double as pedestrian refuge areas at mid-block crossings.

#### TABLE 18: 186TH STREET, STRATEGIES

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST	
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements		
Crosswalk visibility enhancements	Improve crossing safety and comfort for trail users	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$	
	Long-Term Capital Projects			
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$	
Shared use path and PHB or RRFB at mid- block crossings	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety near the high school and middle school	47%-56% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$\$\$	



FIGURE 51: 186TH STREET, CRASH TYPES & SEVERITY







## Cherry Street 12th Street to SR 37

#### **Existing Conditions**

Cherry Street is a local 2-lane road that runs eastwest. This section of Cherry Street is east of the downtown Noblesville, between 12th Street and SR 37. Sidewalks are present along Cherry Street from 12th Street to 19th Street. Sidewalks are not continuous between 19th Street and Cumberland Road.

The land use along Cherry Street is primarily single family residential. There is a commercial district between 19th Street and SR 37.

#### FIGURE 53: CHERRY STREET, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 74 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 14 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 2.2 Intersection Safety Index: 3.6 Equity Target Area: Yes

#### **Strategies**

Systemic countermeasures at stop controlled intersections may include improved signage, advanced warnings, and/or enhanced pavement markings.

#### TABLE 19: CHERRY STREET, STRATEGIES

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements	
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
Crosswalk visibility enhancements	Improve crossing safety and comfort for bicyclists and pedestrians	25%-42% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$
Long-Term Capital Projects			
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$







Σŷζ

## **Campus Parkway**

### Boden Road to I-69 Interchange

#### **Existing Conditions**

Campus Parkway (146th Street) from Boden Road to I-69 is a 4-lane principal arterial with multi-use paths on both sides of the road.

Land use in this area is primarily commercial, including the Hamilton Town Center, Ruoff Music Center, and medical facilities (IU Health and Ascension St. Vincent).

Campus Parkway provides regional mobility and has an interchange with I-69, that was reconstructed as a diverging diamond around the year 2017.

FIGURE 56: CAMPUS PARKWAY, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 295 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 36 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index Score: 9.9 Intersection Safety Index Score: 18.7 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

Intersection improvements along the Campus Parkway corridor are recommended. Strategies at this location are aimed primarily at reducing rear end and right angle crashes.

The corridor is under Hamilton County jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation.

|--|

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
Yellow change intervals	Reduce rear end crashes	12% reduction in injury crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Reduced conflict intersections	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	22%-63% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$
Left turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$









## 206th Street

## Hague Road to SR 37

#### **Existing Conditions**

There are four intersections along 206th Street with Hague Road, SR 19 / Cicero Road, Cumberland Road, and SR 37.

FIGURE 59: 206TH STREET, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 78 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 14 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 0.4 Intersection Safety Index: 8.5 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes widening 206th Street from a 2-lane road to a 4-lane road in the future, but at the time this safety action plan was written no funding source has been identified. The scope includes potential realignment to terminate at the existing intersection at Hague Road and Carrigan Road.

The corridor is under Hamilton County jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation.

#### TABLE 21: 206TH STREET, STRATEGIES

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Impro	vements	
Backplates with retro- reflective borders	Reduce red light running and right angle crashes	15% reduction in total crashes	\$
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
Wider edge lines	Reduce roadway departures (ran off road crashes)	37% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
Long-Term Capital Projects			
Roundabouts at Cicero Road, Cumberland Road, and/or Hague Road	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$









## SR 32 / SR 38 Junction

#### **Existing Conditions**

The SR 32/SR 38 Junction is on the east side of the City. SR 32/SR 38/Conner Street west of the junction is a 2-lane Minor Arterial. SR 32 east of the junction is a 2-lane Minor Arterial. SR 38 east of the junction is a 2-lane Major Collector.

Land use in this area includes residential (multifamily housing and single units), industrial, commercial, and agricultural. There is the potential for further development.

#### Notes

The roundabouts were constructed in the 2010-2012 timeframe. They are multi-lane roundabouts though the roadways approaching the roundabout have one lane in each direction.

A majority of the incapacitating injury crashes occurred at the SR 32/SR 38/Conner Street and Union Chapel Road intersection. 46% of the crashes were same direction sideswipe crashes, which are common a multi-lane roundabouts. 24% of the crashes were rear end crashes, which are unexpected at roundabouts with yield entry.

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 125 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 14 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 3.4 Intersection Safety Index: 17.8 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

The MTP includes a programmed project to widen SR 32 from 2-lanes to 4-lanes from Cicero Creek to the SR 38 junction.

A review existing roundabouts is recommended for best practices on geometry, signage for motorists navigating the roundabout, and safe pedestrian crossings.

A Roadway Safety Audit (RSA) is also recommended at this location.



per Year







FIGURE 63: SR 32 / SR 38 JUNCTION, CRASH TYPES & SEVERITY

FIGURE 64: SR 32 / SR 38 JUNCTION, MAP





# SR 32 / Westfield Road

### Hague Road to Gray Road

### **Existing Conditions**

SR 32 is a 2-lane Principal Arterial west of Noblesville. Land use along the corridor includes suburban housing developments, commercial, industrial, and agricultural

#### FIGURE 65: SR 32 / WESTFIELD ROAD, CRASHES PER YEAR



#### TABLE 22: SR 32 / WESTFIELD ROAD, STRATEGIES

#### **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 241 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 26 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 0

#### **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 13.3 Intersection Safety Index: 23.0 Equity Target Area: No

#### **Strategies**

Separated pedestrian and bicyclist facilities (shared use path) are recommended due to the exposure to high-speed vehicles on SR 32/Westfield Road.

The corridor is under INDOT jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation. INDOT is currently studying the corridor for potential safety improvements.

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST
	Short-Term/Low-Cost Improv	vements	
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$
	Long-Term Capital Proje	ects	
Walkways and/or bicycle lanes	Improve safety of bicyclists and pedestrians by separating modes	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$-\$\$\$
Turn lanes	Reduce turning conflicts and improve visibility to reduce turning crashes	28%-48% reduction in total crashes	\$\$\$
Corridor access management	Reduce conflict points	25%-31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$-\$\$\$\$
Roundabouts	Reduce right angle crashes and eliminate crossing conflict points	78%-82% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$\$\$\$










# SR 38 / Sheridan Road

# Moontown Road to SR 32

# **Existing Conditions**

SR 38/Sheridan Road is a 2-lane Principal Arterial from Hague Road to Little Chicago Road and a 2-lane minor arterial west of Little Chicago Road. Land use along the corridor is primarily residential.

## Notes

A roundabout was recently constructed at SR 38/ Sheridan Road and SR 32/Westfield Road junction at Riverview Health campus. A roundabout at SR 38 and Oakmont Drive is under construction and a roundabout at SR 38 and Logan Street is in design.





## **Crash History**

Total Crashes (2018-2022): 227 Fatal & Incapacitating Crashes: 25 Pedestrian or Bicyclist Crashes: 1

## **Prioritization**

Segment Safety Index: 18.9 Intersection Safety Index: 24.0 Equity Target Area: No

# **Strategies**

Separated pedestrian and bicyclist facilities (shared use path) are recommended due to the exposure to high-speed vehicles on SR 38/Sheridan Road.

Evaluate traffic control due to high instances of right-angle crashes and add a left turn lane on SR 38 at the intersection with Oakmont Drive. Add a left turn lane (if compatible with traffic control) due to rear end and same direction sideswipe crashes.

The corridor is under INDOT jurisdiction so improvements will require interagency cooperation.

TABLE 23: SR 38 /	Sheridan	Road,	STRATEGIES
-------------------	----------	-------	------------

STRATEGY	PURPOSE	BENEFIT/IMPACT	COST			
Short-Term/Low-Cost Improvements						
Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at stop controlled intersections	Reduce crashes at unsignalized intersections	10% reduction in fatal and injury crashes	\$			
Long-Term Capital Projects						
Walkways and/or bicycle lanes	Improve safety of bicyclists and pedestrians by separating modes	65%-89% reduction in pedestrian crashes	\$-\$\$\$			









# Policy & Program Strategies

To comprehensively address roadway safety, physical safety improvements alone are not sufficient. Policy changes and programs are important strategies to improve behaviors, education, and enforcement outcomes.

To develop a list of policy and program recommendations, an assessment of current policies and programs was performed. The assessment was led by the project team in consultation with the steering committee. Policy assessment included design guidelines, speed management, and performance management. A set of high level action items will serve as the road map for implementation. The strategies are the policy and program recommendations that, together with the HIN location recommendations, serve as the comprehensive set of strategies to address roadway safety in Noblesville and reach the goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries.

These strategies respond to specific conditions in Noblesville and consider national best practices. Each strategy listed should be considered a starting point from which the City can begin working, along with their partners, to adjust and implement as necessary.

STRATEGY	DESCRIPTION	TIMEFRAME
Complete Streets Policy	Develop and implement a Complete Streets policy.	0-3 years
Level of Service Alternatives	Evaluate alternatives to level of service (LOS) to evaluate transportation projects in Downtown Noblesville.	4-5 years
Pedestrian Crossing Standards	Develop and implement design standard for pedestrian crossing at roundabouts.	0-3 years
Corridor Access Management	Develop and implement corridor access management policies and design guidelines to minimize roadway conflict points.	5+ years
Traffic Calming Program	Create and establish a traffic calming program by which residents can formally request traffic calming treatments at specific locations.	0-3 years
Safe Routes to School Program	Establish a Safe Routes to School program.	4-5 years
Road Safety Campaign	Create targeted safety awareness educational campaigns.	0-3 years
Performance Management	Create roadway safety performance program to collect data, monitor progress, review fatal crashes, and publish annual report card.	0-3 years

#### TABLE 24: POLICY AND PROGRAM STRATEGIES



# **Complete Streets Policy**

#### What:

Complete Streets is an approach to planning, designing, building, operating, and maintaining streets that enables safe access for all people who need to use them, including pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists and transit riders of all ages and abilities. A Complete Streets policy codifies a community's commitment to this approach and includes guidance for agency staff at every stage of project development and ongoing maintenance and operations. According to best practices promoted by the National Complete Streets Coalition, there are ten key elements to a strong and successful Complete Streets policy. These include establishing a vision and commitment, addressing all projects and phases, allowing only for clear exceptions, adopting excellent design guidance, and creating a plan for implementation.

#### Why:

For the City of Noblesville, a Complete Streets policy strengthens the city's commitment to creating safer streets for all by providing a framework through which to plan, program, design, and maintain city streets. Noblesville's policy can be crafted to take into account the findings of this study to ensure that the HIN and recommended safety improvements are addressed in future projects.

#### **Resources:**

National Complete Streets Coalition Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Indiana DOT

#### Level of Service Alternatives What:

Level of service (LOS) is a qualitative measure of performance that considers vehicle travel time, speed, and delay. Corridors and intersections are assigned letter grades A through F with A being free flowing traffic and F being congested, stop-and-go traffic. Because LOS only assess vehicle movements, evaluating transportation projects using LOS will inevitable prioritize those movements over the safety of all users including pedestrians and bicyclists.

#### Why:

While not explicitly required by the USDOT, most agencies rely on LOS to evaluate potential transportation improvement projects. In dense, urban environments LOS can be particularly harmful when attempting to design transportation systems that minimize vehicle throughput and maximize multimodal safety and access.

#### Alternatives to LOS include:

- > Multimodal LOS
- > Vehicle Miles Traveled
- > Person throughput
- > Multimodal access
- Allowing LOS F within dense, urban environments or commercial centers

#### **Resources:**

USDOT Transportation for America



#### Pedestrian Crossing Standards What:

Pedestrian crossings vary considerably throughout the City in terms of quality and design. A consistent pedestrian crossing standard would ensure that pedestrians have safe crossing options throughout the City in urban, rural, suburban, and roundabout settings. Common throughout Noblesville and nearby communities, roundabouts are circular intersections safety and efficiently move traffic. Lower travel speeds and reduced conflict points make roundabouts an FHWA proven safety countermeasure for their ability to significantly reduce fatal and serious injury crashes.

Despite the benefits of roundabouts, pedestrians are often confused, unsure, and/or uncomfortable when trying to cross streets at a roundabout. There is not always a clear, marked, and signed method for pedestrian crossings. Crossing at roundabouts was an issue brought up by many in the public and in stakeholder interviews.

#### Why:

A standard design for pedestrian crossings would ensure the pedestrian experience is safe and uniform in all contexts, particularly at roundabouts. A standard design would also allow better use of signage and educational materials.

#### **Resources:**

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Minnesota DOT National Association of Transportation Officials (NACTO)

#### Corridor Access Management What:

Corridor access management refers to the land development regulations, roadway design standards, and control of entry and exit points along a roadway. This includes intersections with other roadways and driveways that serve as access points to adjacent properties. Access management treatments may include:

- Reduce density through driveway closure, consolidation, or relocation.
- > Manage spacing of intersection and access points.
- Implement raised medians that preclude across-roadway movements.
- > Utilize designs such as roundabouts or reduced left-turn conflicts (such as restricted crossing U-turn, median U-turns, etc.).
- > Use lower speed one-way or twoway off-arterial circulation roads.

#### Why:

Corridor access management is an FHWA proven safety countermeasure and can be expected to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes.

#### **Resources:**

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP)



# **Traffic Calming Program**

#### What:

Traffic calming refers to treatments that reduce vehicle speeds and encourage slower, and more responsible driving behavior.

Currently, Noblesville residents who are concerned with speeding vehicles may provide general feedback to the Noblesville Police Department or to the Traffic Committee and all speeding complaints are reviewed by the Traffic Committee. However, not all residents are aware of the process for request traffic calming treatments.

#### Why:

A formalized traffic calming program would allow residents to more easily request treatments to reduce vehicle speeds and improve safety on neighborhood streets. A formalized program would also streamline the evaluation of locations, provide a archive of requested locations, and help establish standards for the use of particular treatments.

#### **Resources:**

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) USDOT National Association of Transportation Officials (NACTO)

# Safe Routes to School Program What:

Safe Routes to School (SRTS) is a holistic, multifaceted approach to increasing walking and bicycling to school. SRTS programs often include safety education, targeted traffic enforcement, and encouragement activities like Walk to School Day or daily Walking School Buses. Some SRTS programs also focus on infrastructure improvements to slow motor vehicle traffic and create safe, contiguous connections for students to walk and bike to school. The scope and scale of a SRTS program can vary widely and is often dependent on leading agency (often a school district or municipality), local partners, staff and volunteer capacity, and resources. A successful SRTS program can improve traffic safety, increase physical activity, and reduce vehicle trips to and from school.

#### Why:

A SRTS program would align well with the Emphasis Areas and Key Concepts described earlier in the plan, including eliminating traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries, improving bicycle and pedestrian safety and connectivity, and advancing equitable transportation investments that support disadvantaged communities and vulnerable road users.

#### **Resources:**

USDOT National Center for Safe Routes to School Safe Routes Partnership Indiana Safe Routes to School Guidebook



# **Road Safety Campaign**

#### What:

Road safety campaigns are flexible safety tools used by national, state, local, and non-profit agencies around the world. Road safety campaigns vary considerably and can be tailored to address a range of topics, target general or specific audiences, adapted to different media and communication channels, and implemented for various lengths of time. While the ultimate goal of these campaigns are to reduce the frequency and severity of crashes, they can do so with different strategies, like improving knowledge and/ or awareness of risks and preventative behaviors, changing underlying factors known to influence behaviors, modifying problem behaviors, and maintaining or encouraging safety-conscious behaviors.

#### Why:

Not all road safety issues can be solved through design interventions. A road safety campaign can raise awareness of the human impacts of fatal and severe crashes in the community, bring light to the city's efforts to improve road safety for all users, and encourage safe and responsible travel behaviors among people who live, work, and play in Noblesville.

#### **Resources:**

National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) World Health Organization (WHO) Traffic Injury Research Foundation (TIRF)

#### Performance Management What:

Safety Performance Management is the process by which data is used to review performance of the transportation system. The City of Noblesville is committed to developing a robust safety performance management program. Safety performance measures to collect, monitor, and publish include:

- > Number of fatalities
- > Rate of fatalities
- > Number of serious injuries
- > Rate of serious injuries
- > Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries

#### Why:

As required by the SS4A program, to monitor progress of this plan, crash data will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the comprehensive set of strategies to improve safety. Each year, data will be collected, analyzed, and performance measures published in a annual report card to increase transparency and accountability. In addition to the performance measures, the Traffic Committee will review the circumstances for every fatal crash and take appropriate steps to address unsafe conditions.

#### **Resources:**

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Indianapolis Metropolitan Planning Organization (IMPO)





Noblesville Safety Action Plan | 77